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List of the data sources EUROFIMA used to produce the Impact Report.

| Source of data (1/2) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor | Short name | Source | Page | Value |
| Average Auto Consumption - Motorway | ACM | Ecopassenger Methodology Report | Page 17 |  |
| Average Auto Consumption - Rural | ACR | Ecopassenger Methodology Report | Page 17 |  |
| \% of time traveled in a Motorway | TM\% | Assumption |  | 50\% |
| \% of time traveled in Rural roads | TR\% | Assumption |  | 50\% |
| Average Auto Consumption - Travel | ACT | Calculated |  |  |
| Average Diesel Auto Consumption - Travel | ACTD | Calculated |  | $4.91 / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Average Petrol Auto Consumption - Travel | ACTP | Calculated |  | $6.71 / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| \% of Diesel cars in the European Fleet | DC\% | ACEA (fleet type) |  | 42\% |
| \% of Petrol cars in the European Fleet | PC\% | ACEA (diesel vs petrol] |  | 53.9\% |
| Average car weight |  | European vehicle market statistics | Page 53 | 1395 kg |
| Average Auto Consumption | AC | Calculated |  | $5.91 / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Passengers per kilometer by country/mode of operations | pkmC | Eurostat - Rail transport of passengers SCI Verkher GmbH |  |  |
| Passengers per kilometer by item of equipment | pkmT | Calculated |  |  |
| Available seats by country/mode of operations | AvSC | SCI Verkher GmbH |  |  |
| Available seats by specific item of equipment | AvST | Railways/Manufacturer data sheet |  |  |
| Numbers of specific green items | \#ST | Project |  |  |
| Baseline GhG emissions per pkm, avoided | EBA | EU Taxonomy | Art. 24.1, Page 329 | $290 \mathrm{gCO}_{2} / \mathrm{vkm}$ |
| Baseline GhG emissions per pkm, reduced | EBR | EU Taxonomy | Art. 24.1, Page 329 | $90 \mathrm{gCO}_{2} / \mathrm{pkm}$ |
| Passenger per vehicle | PV | Ecopassenger |  | 1.5 |
| Project savings $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ as reduced emissions | PSCDR | Calculated |  |  |
| Project savings ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) as avoided emission | PSCDA | Calculated |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted by energy unit- Petrol | CKwhP | UK Gov- GG Reporting- Conversion factors | See table "Conversion factors 2020: condensed set (for most users)" | $0.00071 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ emitted by energy unit- Diesel | CKwhD | UK Gov- GG Reporting- Conversion factors | See table "Conversion factors 2020: condensed set (for most users)" | $0.00002 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ emitted by energy unit- Petrol | NKwhP | UK Gov- GG Reporting- Conversion factors | See table "Conversion factors 2020: condensed set (for most users)" | $0.00064 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ emitted by energy unit- Diesel | NKwhP | UK Gov- GG Reporting- Conversion factors | See table "Conversion factors 2020: condensed set (for most users)" | $0.00331 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Project savings $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ as avoided emissions | PSMHA | Calculated |  |  |
| Project savings $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]$ as reduced emissions | PSMHR | Calculated |  |  |
| Project savings ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) as avoided emissions | PSNOA | Calculated |  |  |
| Project savings ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) as reduced emissions | PSNOR | Calculated |  |  |
| Diesel Heating Value-by Kg |  | Heating values |  | $45.5 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{Kg}$ |
| Energy consumption baseline per pkm, car | JBC | Mobitool.ch |  | $1.30 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{pkm}$ |
| Energy consumption baseline per pkm, diesel equipment | JBD | Ecopassenger Methodology Report | Page 18 | $1.15 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{pkm}$ |
| Average Energy Consumption of the Green Asset per Pkm (CH,AT, DE, FR,IT) | JGA | Mobitool.ch |  |  |
| Average Energy Consumption of the Green Asset per Pkm (Other country) | JGA | Ecopassenger Methodology Report | Page 18 | $0.32 \mathrm{Mj} / \mathrm{pkm}$ |

List of the data sources EUROFIMA used to produce the Impact Report.
Source of data 2/2)

| Factor | Short name | Source | Page | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project savings as reduced energy consumption | PSJR | Calculated |  |  |
| Project savings as avoided energy consumption | PSJA | Calculated |  |  |
| Heating value by liter -Petrol | HVP | Heating values |  | $33.9 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{I}$ |
| Heating value by liter- Diesel | HVD | Heating values |  | $36.7 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{I}$ |
| Reduction in fuel consumption- Avoided | RFCA | Calculated |  |  |
| Reduction in fuel consumption- Reduced | RFCR | Calculated |  |  |

## FS/SNCB LOCOMOTIVES AVAILABLE SEATS ESTIMATION

| E464 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1st class } \\ \text { Seats } \end{array}$ | Driving trailer Seats | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd class } \\ & \text { coaches - \# } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lst class } \\ \text { coaches - } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value - Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# Green } \\ & \text { coaches } \end{aligned}$ | \# Complete formations | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ \text { Locos left } \end{gathered}$ | Single Coaches left |
| MD | 82 | 72 | 60 | 3 | 2 | 52.6\% | 450 | 246.8 | 175,203 € | 254,044 € | 1,372,536 € | 2,502,595 € |  |  |  |  |  |
| PR | 100 |  | 76 | 5 |  | 15.8\% | 576 | 576 | 63,398 € | 153,645 € | 1,372,536 € | 1,843,169 € | 251 |  |  | 201 |  |
| Vivalto | 126 | 90 | 90 | 3 | 2 | 31.6\% | 648 | 115.2 | 991,896 € | 1,388,470 € | 1,372,536 € | 7,720,487 € |  | 302 | 50 |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seats of the average formation |  |  |  |  |  |  | 532.4 | 234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E403* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | lst class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | 2nd class coaches - \# | lst class coaches - \# | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation] | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value-Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | \# Green coaches | \# Complete formations | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Single } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Single } \\ \text { Coaches left } \end{array}$ |
| IC - Gran comfort | 74 | 52 | 59 | 5 | 3 | 35\% | 585 | 254.6 | 440,483 € | 1,179,307 € | 3,623,293 € | 8,326,467 € |  |  |  |  |  |
| UIC Z1** | 66 | 54 | 64 | 5 | 3 | 40\% | 556 | 250.3 | 405,814 € | 1,179,307 € | 3,623,293 € | 8,049,115 € | 23 |  |  | 23 |  |

Seats of the average formation
$427.2 \quad 189.2$
*Assumed that $25 \%$ of the loco run during the night and are excluded from the estimation
**All UIC Z1 are assumed to be coupled with the loco E414 for the sake of simplicity

| E401* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | lst class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | 2nd class | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1st class } \\ \text { coaches - } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value - Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | \# Green coaches | \# Complete formations | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Coaches } \\ \text { left } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Coaches } \\ \text { left } \end{array}$ |
| UIC Z1** | 66 | 54 | 64 | 5 | 1 | 75\% | 448 | 140.49 | 405,814 € | 1,179,307 € | 1,651,229 € | 5,265,422 € | 21 |  |  | 21 |  |

## Seats of the average formation

$\square$
*Assumed that $25 \%$ of the loco run during the night and are excluded from the estimation
${ }^{* *}$ All UIC Zl are assumed to be coupled with the loco E414 for the sake of simplicity

| E414 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2nd class } \\ \text { Seats } \end{array}$ | 1st class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | 2nd class coaches - \# | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lst class } \\ \text { coaches - \# } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value - Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | \# Green coaches | \# Complete formations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Locos left } \end{aligned}$ | Single Coaches left |
| IC - Gran comfort | 74 | 52 | 59 | 5 | 3 | 33\% | 585 | 70.5 | 440,483 € | 1,179,307 € | 644,653 € | 5,347,827 € | 58 |  |  | 39 |  |
| UIC Z1 | 66 | 54 | 64 | 5 | 3 | 66\% | 556 | 70.7 | 405,814 € | 1,179,307 € | 644,653 € | 5,070,475 € | S | 176 | 19 | 3 | 5 |
| Seats of the average formation |  |  |  |  |  |  | 560.0 | 69.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FS/SNCB LOCOMOTIVES AVAILABLE SEATS ESTIMATION

| E402 B* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | 1st class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | 2nd class <br> coaches - \# | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lst class } \\ \text { coaches - \# } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value - Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | \# Green <br> coaches | \# Complete formations | Single Locos left | Single Coaches left |
| IC - Gran comfort | 74 | 52 | 59 | 5 | 3 | 35\% | 585 | 65.2 | 440,483 € | 1,179,307 € | 589,443 € | 5,292,617 € | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |
| UIC $\mathrm{Zl}^{* *}$ | 66 | 54 | 64 | 5 | 3 | 40\% | 556 | 65.3 | 405,814 € | 1,179,307 € | 589,433 € | 5,015,255 € |  |  |  |  |  |

Seats of the average formation
*Assumed that $25 \%$ of the loco run during the night and are excluded from the estimation
**All UIC Z1 are assumed to be coupled with the loco E414 for the sake of simplicity

| Class HLE 18* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | 1st class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | 2nd class coaches - \# | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lst class } \\ \text { coaches - \# } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value - Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | \# Green coaches | \# Complete formations | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ \text { Locos left } \end{gathered}$ | Single Coaches left |
| M6 | 140 | 124 | 130 | 5 | 2 | 70\% | 1078 | 238.3 | 1,206,730 € | 1,749,759 € | 2,893,862 € | 13,090,731 € |  | 103 | 12 |  | 7 |
| Class 111 | 80 | 60 | 58 | 5 | 2 | 30\% | 578 | 268.1 | 346,216 € | 920,879 € | 2,893,862 € | 6,238,253 € | 22 | 16 | 2 | 8 |  |
| Seats of the average formation |  |  |  |  |  |  | 928.0 | 247.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*The locomotives Class HLE 18 is coupled with a fragmented mix of formations and several different coaches; to simplify, we assume that there are only two formations, with the two types of coaches mainly used and the average numbers of coaches. In this model we assume that 12 locos carry 1078 passengers, 2 locos 578 and 8 locos 247.3 .

| Class HLE 19* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of coaches | 2nd class Seats | 1st class Seats | Driving trailer Seats | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2nd class } \\ \text { coaches - \# } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lst class } \\ \text { coaches - } \end{array}$ | \% of usage | Seats (whole formation) | Seats weighted by loco Value | Unitary book value-Coaches | Unitary book value - Driving trailer | Unitary book value - Loco | Formation value | \# Green loco | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Green } \\ \text { coaches** } \end{array}$ | \# Complete formations | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Coaches } \\ \text { left } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \# Coaches } \\ \text { left } \end{array}$ |
| M6 | 140 | 124 | 130 | 3 | 1 | 100\% | 674 | 203.2 | 1,206,730 € | 1,749,759 € | 2,837,959 € | 9,414,638 € | 4 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 2 |

## Seats of the average formation

674.0
203.2

* The locomotives Class HLE 19 is coupled with a fragmented mix of formations and several different coaches; to simplify, we assume that there is only one formation, with the $M 6$ coaches, where each loco carries 6 coaches. In this model 1 Loco carries, as complete formation, 674 pasengers, while the other 3 loco 203.2
** The number of coaches are the ones left, after we have associated the total 103 to the 12 complete formations with the loco Class HLE 18;
as 5 of them form a complete formation with the Loco Class HLE 19, only 2 are the singles and carries 140 passengers each

In case of a locomotive pulling/pushing a set of passengers cars (i.e. E464/E4l4 of FS), the number of available seats depends on the frequency of use of the specific formations that are utilized and on the coaches, in terms of type and numbers, which form the relevant formation. Here below we have described the single steps to calculate the available seats, using the Locomotive E464 as example; the other locomotives use the same logic.

1) We first define the different coaches and relevant \% of usage (E464 utilizes MD coaches $52.6 \%$ of the time, PR Coaches $15.8 \%$ of the time and Vivalto coaches for $31.6 \%$ of the time) and then the formation and relevant seats by type of coach (i.e. the loco E464 carries 3 second class ( 82 seats available each), 2 first class ( 72 seats available each) and 1 driving trailer ( 60 available seats) of the coach type MD).
2) We then calculate the financial value of the formation and of the single component (loco, coaches), taking as a basis the updated book value received by the Railway Operator.
3) As we did not finance always the entire formation, but only the Locomotive, we weighed the available seats as pro rata of the book values (i.e. for the coach MD: 450*1.372.536/2.502.595=246.8).
4) Starting from this data, provided by FS, we can estimate the seats that the average loco-coaches formation carries, weighing the available seats of a formation with the frequency of utilization (i.e. for the locomotives E464: $256,8 * 52,6 \%+428,9 * 15,8 \%+115,2 * 31,6 \%=234,0$ ). This is the value we use for a single locomotive (pair in green).
5) In case we financed also the coaches (i.e. Vivalto), we estimated the numbers of complete formations (in this case, 50 , as we financed 302 Vivalto coaches and each formation requires 6 coaches) and use the total available seats for the formation (648) (pair in orange).
6) To avoid a double counting, the savings of the Vivalto coaches are not considered and put to zero, with the exclusion of the 2 coaches left; 302 (Financed Coaches)- 6 (Coaches per formation)*50 (number of complete formation)=2 Coaches left. The value of the Seats is in this case 126, the one of the 2nd class type (pair in pale blue).

| Asset class | Available seats | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS 2400 CFL | 334 |  |
| CLASS HLE 18 | 247.3 | This value does not apply on 14 locomotives, where we have enough coaches to form a complete formation. In this case the value is 1078 seats for 12 locos and 578 for 2 locos |
| CLASS HLE 19 | 203.2 | This value does not apply on 1 locomotives, where we have enough coaches to form a complete formation. In this case the value is 674 seats |
| CLASS 11 SNCB | - | We put to zero the savings, not to double count them (see above the note on the Class HLE19): all coaches are part of a full formation with the loco Class HLE 19 |
| M6 SNCB | - | We put to zero the savings, not to double count them (see above the note on the Class HLE18), with the exclusion of the 2 coaches which are left, after completing the 14 formation. In this case the value is 140 seats. |
| RABE 514 | 384 |  |
| RABE 521 | 161 |  |
| RABE 522 | 161 |  |
| RABE 523 | 161 |  |
| RABE 503 | 422 |  |
| CIVIA 465 | 277 |  |
| E464 | 234.0 | This value does not apply on 50 locomotives, where we have enough coaches to form a complete formation. In this case the value is 648 seats |
| VIVALTO* | - | We put to zero the savings, not to double count them (see above the note on the E464), with the exclusion of the 2 coaches which are left, after completing the 50 formation. In this case the value is 126 seats. |
| E403 FS | 189 |  |
| CLASS 449 | 263 |  |
| CIVIA 463 | 169 |  |
| CIVIA 464 | 223 |  |
| S-104 | 237 |  |
| S-114 | 237 |  |
| ETR 324 JAZZ | 202 |  |
| ETR 425 JAZZ | 290 |  |
| MINUETTO E | 169 |  |
| CLASS 447 RF | 234 |  |
| RABDE 500 | 431 |  |
| RABE 511-6 | 535 |  |
| RABE 511-4 | 337 |  |
| RABE 520 | 128 |  |
| UIC Z1** | - | We put to zero the savings, not to double count them [see below the note on the E414], with the exclusion of the 5 coaches which are left, after completing the 19 formation. In this case the value is 66 seats |
| E401 FS | 105.4 |  |
| E402 B FS | 48.9 |  |
| E414 | 69.9 | This value does not apply on 19 locomotives, where we have enough coaches to form a complete formation. In this case the value is 556 seats |
| CLASS 6112 HZ | 220 |  |
| RABE 523 F3 | 161 |  |
| RABE 524-4 | 182 |  |
| RABE 524-6 | 250 |  |
| RABE 524-6 F3 | 250 |  |
| RABE 526-3 | 106 |  |
| RABE 526-4 | 163 |  |

* With the name Vivalto we define a family of very similar coaches; NCDP DT, NCDP IC, CDPTR DT, CDPTR IC, as denominated in the list of assets
** With the name UIC Z1 we define a family of coaches; UIC Z1 FS (Intermediate) and UIC ZIA (Driving), as denominated in the list of assets

